

Cloud Development Tools Steering Committee Agenda

Future Topics

- Feel free to add some topics you would like to discuss here

Jan 8, 2026

Discussion Topic	Moderator(s)	Mins, link
Quorum and minutes taker First version is AI generated	Host	2
<u>Minutes</u> from Dec 5, 2025 review and approval Chair to copy approved minutes here and PM to request publication on the WG website	Host	5
Thomas regular <u>steering committee update</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none">- The online version of the Program Plan- Current Q1 2026 action list	Thomas	10
New vision and direction of the ECD Working Group in 2026 <ul style="list-style-type: none">- Follow up of this task in the Gitlab issue #41	Workshop	20
AOB		

Meeting minutes (Jan 8th)

Attendees

Name	Organization	Attended This Meeting
Mélanie Bats	Obeo	x
Cédric Brun	Obeo	

J�rome Bourgeois	ST	
Philippe Noel	ST	
Jonas Helming	EclipseSource	x
Tim deBoer	Red Hat	x
Rob Moran	Arm	
Miro Sp�nemann	TypeFox	x
Matthew Khouzam	Ericsson	x
Mark Goodchild	Renesas	x
Jonathan Madsen	AMD	
Ammar ElWazir	AMD	
Tony Tye	AMD	
Thomas Froment	Eclipse	
Clark Roundy	Eclipse	X
Sharon Corbett	Eclipse	

Quorum and validation of previous meeting minutes

Steering Committee Members:

Number of Seats 9 / Quorum is 50% of Committee / Passing a resolution is > 50% of quorum present (5 members)

Motion: Approve Minutes of Dec 4th, 2025. No objection to unanimous approval.

RESOLVED, the Steering Committee unanimously approved the Minutes of Dec 4th

Minute Taking Roster

- Obeo (last DATE)
- EclipseSource (last DATE)
- Red Hat (last DATE)
- Arm (last DATE)
- TypeFox (last DATE)
- ST (last DATE)

- Renesas (last DATE)
- AMD (last DATE)

Minute Taking

- Latest minutes based on AI transcription are rather transcripts than a valuable high-level summary of key points and relevant outcomes
 - Expectation is that person responsible for minute taking summarizes and points out highlights / important key points of AI transcript
 - Minutes of previous meeting should be revised by the responsible before they will be approved

Discussions

Program Objectives and Action Tracking

The meeting focused on reviewing meeting minutes and discussing program objectives. Thomas provided an update on the OpenSumi topic, noting that while progress is slow, it remains a managed issue. Jonas suggested adding it to the action list on GitLab, which Thomas agreed to do. Thomas also demonstrated a tool for tracking program objectives and actions, highlighting its usefulness for planning and managing tasks. The group discussed the need to connect new actions to existing program objectives.

AI Entity and Training Updates

Thomas's Demo and Project Updates

Thomas discussed his upcoming internal demo for a company in Paris and a request from a French bank. Jonas praised Thomas's work on content amplification and company outreach, expressing hope for continued collaboration in 2026. Thomas mentioned the need to clarify the future direction of the Eclipse cloud Dev Tool project and shared plans for a new program plan. The team discussed upcoming events and newsletters, with Thomas requesting contributions by the end of the week for the next newsletter.

Content Planning and Event Prep

Jonas also pointed out that preparation for the OCX 2026 event should begin immediately, as the working group was underrepresented in the previous event, and Thomas agreed to add this to the Q1 action list.

Cloud Dev Tools Rebranding Discussion

The group discussed rebranding the Cloud Dev Tools working group, acknowledging that the current name no longer accurately reflects their vision. Jonas suggested clarifying the goals of the brand before making any changes, as the current website has minimal traffic. Thomas shared a Gartner trend indicating a significant increase in decision-makers caring about sovereignty in Europe and Asia, from 5% to 75% last year. The team agreed to further discuss what rebranding would mean for their working group and whether they need an explicit brand or if it could simply be a working group name.

Eclipse Ecosystem Repositioning Strategy

The working group discussed renaming and repositioning efforts to better attract contributors and projects to their ecosystem. Jonas emphasized targeting two groups: existing Eclipse desktop community members seeking a modern alternative and external developers who left Eclipse years ago but might reconsider it. Miro and Melanie highlighted the importance of focusing on cloud and web-based tools, with Melanie specifically noting the need for clean, modern UIs tailored to system engineers' needs. The group agreed that the core focus should be on promoting tools that enable building other tools, rather than just updating RCP applications.

Project Naming Strategy Discussion

The team discussed naming options for their project, focusing on creating a generic and future-proof name that reflects their ecosystem of development tools. Clark emphasized avoiding buzzwords like "cloud" and suggested a name that could evolve over time, while Jonas proposed "Eclipse Modern Dev Tools" as a potential option. Miro highlighted the importance of the ecosystem aspect in their messaging, and Tim noted that the core projects should be useful both individually and as part of a larger toolset. The group agreed that a more generic name would be preferable to one that might need to be changed in the near future.

Working Group Naming Discussion

The group discussed the naming of a working group, with Jonas suggesting replacing "cloud" with a generic term to emphasize innovation, which Melanie agreed to. They debated the importance of including "web" or "cloud" in the name, with Melanie emphasizing the need for the group to focus on creating tools. Thomas proposed considering the types of projects they want to attract to the working group for outreach purposes.

Brainstorming Session Planning Discussion

The group discussed organizing a brainstorming session for expanding their working group's ecosystem, with Thomas, Melanie, and Mark agreeing to coordinate it. They decided to use both

GitLab and a Google Doc for documenting ideas and discussions, with Miro suggesting tagging team members in GitLab issues for notifications.

The meeting focused on rebranding/renaming the Cloud Dev Tools working group, with participants discussing potential new names and visions for the group. The group agreed that the current name may not accurately reflect their focus on developer productivity and modern tools. They explored options like "Eclipse Dev Tools Next" or "Eclipse Modern Dev Tools," while considering the importance of web and cloud capabilities for different members. The discussion highlighted the need to balance attracting new projects and maintaining relevance to existing members. Participants agreed to continue brainstorming ideas both synchronously through a GitLab issue and asynchronously through a Google Doc, with the goal of creating a more generic and forward-looking name for the working group.

Other (first) ideas considered:

- Developers productivity
- Next Generation Developer Productivity
- Next Generation Developer Experience
- Developer Productivity and Platforms
- Development Platform & AI Tooling
- Eclipse DevTools Next
- Eclipse Next Tools

Actions taken

- Thomas: Track the OpenSumi DMCA/license compliance issue in the program management GitLab portal (not just personal to-do list), as requested by Jonas.
- Thomas: Ping/notify relevant participants about the newsletter contribution deadline (end of this week) and provide details for contribution.
- Thomas: Add preparation and representation for OCX 2026 to the Q1 action list, including starting preparation now for Q2 event.
- Thomas: Summarize the working group rebranding/renaming discussion in the existing GitLab issue, tag relevant participants, and gather input for new working group description and name ideas.
- All interested participants: Contribute ideas and keywords for the new working group name/description in the designated GitLab issue (and/or Google Doc if created).
- Thomas (with Mark, Melanie, and others): Organize a dedicated brainstorming session for working group rebranding/renaming, and send out invitations.
- All interested participants: Attend the brainstorming session for working group rebranding/renaming (if able).

- Thomas: Consider creating a Google Doc for collaborative brainstorming on the working group description and name, if consensus is reached.